

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 DAYNA PADULA, et al., No. 2:05-cv-00411-MCE-EFB
12 Plaintiffs,
13 v. **ORDER**
14 ROBERT MORRIS, et al.,
15 Defendants.

17 The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 276) of
18 its Order granting Defendants' request for costs (ECF No. 276). This Court may
19 reconsider its prior decision for any of the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence,
20 surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence; (3) fraud,
21 misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party; (4) the judgment is void;
22 (5) judgment has been satisfied, released or discharged; and (6) any other reason that
23 justifies relief. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). Plaintiff's Motion does nothing more than rehash
24 arguments already considered and rejected.

25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///

1 No grounds having been presented that warrant reconsideration, Plaintiff's Motion (ECF
2 No. 276) is DENIED.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: March 7, 2014

5
6 
7 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28